



**Chhattisgarh State Electricity Regulatory Commission**  
Civil Lines, G.E. Road, Raipur – 492001  
Tel: 0771-4073555, Fax-4073553

**Petition No.24 of 2007(M)**

**In the matter of wrong categorization of tariff for temporary connection**

M/s Abhijeet Infrastructure Ltd.  
Siltara, Raipur.

.... Petitioner

**V/s**

Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board  
Raipur

.... Respondent

**Present : S.K. Misra, Chairman**  
**Sarat Chandra, Member**

**ORDER**

(Passed on 17/10/2007)

The petitioner, M/s Abhijeet Infrastructure Ltd., Raipur has stated that the Board had sanctioned temporary connection of 1660 KVA for start-up power for six months to them subject to approval by this Commission. As per the provisions of the Supply Code, Commission's approval was necessary as load of 1600 KVA was to be given at 132 KV instead of 33 KV. The Commission approved the deviation of availing 1660 KVA load at 132 KV instead of at 33 KV but directed the CSEB that this power should not be treated as start-up power as start-up power can not be of temporary nature. CSEB was further advised to do billing at one and half times of the HV-5 tariff category (other HT industries). The petitioner took up the matter regarding wrong categorization to the Electricity Grievances Redressal Forum treating it as a billing dispute but the Forum expressed its inability to look into this as it was not within their competency. Hence this petition has been filed by the petitioner before this Commission for clarification on the applicable tariff.

It is stated by the petitioner that there are 10 categories of EHT consumers under tariff order for the year 2006-07 and the categories have been fixed as per the nature of use of power. Since they are in the process of making sponge iron so the tariff applicable to sponge iron that is, HV-4 (Steel Industries) should be applied to them instead of HV-5 (other Industries) with one and half times the rate as they have been availing power on temporary basis and not start-up power. The respondent Board has submitted that since the tariff was fixed as directed by the Commission and they have simply complied with the directions of the Commission they have nothing to say in the case. During hearing the respondent Board informed on query by the Commission that they have no objection to the plea of the petitioner.

Looking to the nature of use of power by the petitioner the Commission is convinced that it would be appropriate that the HV-4 tariff (Steel Industries) is made applicable to him in place of tariff for other industries, once the use of power is not for start-up of the generating unit. The Commission accordingly directs that the tariff at HV-4 be made applicable to the petitioner.

The petition is disposed off accordingly.

**Sd/-  
MEMBER**

**Sd/-  
CHAIRMAN**