



Chhattisgarh State Electricity Regulatory Commission  
Irrigation Colony, Shanti Nagar, Raipur - 492 001 (C.G.)  
Ph.0771-4048788, Fax: 4073553  
[www.cserc.gov.in](http://www.cserc.gov.in), e-mail: [cserc.sec.cg@nic.in](mailto:cserc.sec.cg@nic.in)



**Petition No. 22 of 2017(M)**

**In the Matter of**

**“Review of the Tariff Order dated 31.03.2017 in petition no. 67 of 2016(T), for the approval of CSLDC's provisional true up of FY 2015-16 under section 62 and 64 of the Electricity Act, 2003”.**

Chhattisgarh State Load Dispatch Centre (SLDC)  
Danganiya, Raipur

Petitioner

**PRESENT** : **Narayan Singh, Chairman**  
: **Arun Kumar Sharam, Member**

**APPEARANCE** : Shri Abhinav Kardekar, Advocate along  
with Shri Girish Gupta, EE for petitioner.

**ORDER ON ADMISSION**  
**(Passed on 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2017)**

The review petitioner Chhattisgarh State Load Dispatch Centre (SLDC) seeks review of clause 5.3, 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6 of the tariff order. The petitioner submits that the petition is being filed within a period of 30 days from the date of communication of detailed tariff order for year FY 2017-18 and thus the review petition is within the limitation as prescribed under the CSERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2009.

2. It is submitted that the Commission has approved, in tariff order dated 23.05.2015 passed in petition no. 04 of 2015(T), net surplus of Rs. 1.92 crore considering the interest rate of 13.20% from the mid of FY 2013-14 to mid of FY 2015-16. While summarizing the final true up for FY 2013-14 the Commission had held in clause 6.4 that as per the CSERC (SLDC Fees and Charges) Regulations 2012, the surplus of Rs. 1.92 crore for the FY 2013-14 will be adjusted in

ARR of the FY 2015-16. The Commission directed the review petitioner to calculate all relevant charges accordingly.

- 3.** The above observation of the Commission is misplaced in tariff order dated 31.03.2017, wherein the Commission states that the petitioner has not considered the surplus revenue of Rs. 1.92 crore passed through to Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited (CSPDCL) in FY 2015-16. According to the petitioner, they have duly adjusted the surplus amount of Rs. 1.92 Crore after setting off the NTI receipts and adjusted the refund of excess receipts in compliance of tariff order of FY 2015-16.
- 4.** The petitioner further submits that the Commission has considered the revenue of Rs. 14.28 crore against Rs. 12.26 crore submitted by the petitioner SLDC. The Commission has erred in acknowledging the fact that the said surplus of Rs. 1.92 crore has already been accounted for while billing to CSPDCL in the year 2015-16.
- 5.** In clause 5.6 of the tariff order, the Commission has directed the SLDC to make payment of carrying cost for FY 2015-16 and FY 2016-17 to the Chhattisgarh State Power Generation Company Limited (CSPGCL) in relation to non issuance of revised deviation charges bills for CSPGCL timely. It is ordered by the Commission that carrying cost liability of Rs. 35 lacks shall be adjusted by CSPGCL while paying the bills raised by SLDC towards SOC and MOC charges for CSPGCL for the months of April 2017 to May 2017. The petitioner submits that entire power transaction is taking place between CSPDCL and CSPGCL and SLDC is only required to prepare a statement of charges for deviation including additional charges for deviation on power transactions between CSPGCL and CSPDCL on monthly basis. As the Act mandates, SLDC cannot be engaged in any business of trading in electricity. The SLDC is only a technical body whose main function is to ensure optimum scheduling and dispatch of electricity within the State, monitor grid operations etc. and is not involved in any financial

transaction except for levying appropriate fees and charges for system for grid operations.

- 6.** After hearing the petitioner, we feel that to decide the petition appropriately the CSPGCL and CSPDCL are to be impleaded as respondents in this petition. Further, considering the pleadings, we admit the case for hearing.
- 7.** We direct the petitioner SLDC to amend the petition for impleadment of CSPGCL and CSPDCL as respondents. An application for the relevant amendment must be filed by 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2017 positively. After making suitable amendments in the petition, we will proceed further in this case.

**Sd/-**  
**(ARUN KUMAR SHARMA)**  
**MEMBER**

**Sd/-**  
**(NARAYAN SINGH)**  
**CHAIRMAN**